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In NC GOP Primary, Tillis Leads 7 Challengers; Brannon and Grant Battle for 2nd Place; Eventual Winner Faces Kay Hagan in November: 6 weeks till votes are counted in the North Carolina Republican Primary for United States Senator, Thom Tillis leads a field of 8 Republican hopefuls, $28 \%$ for Tillis to $15 \%$ for Greg Brannon to $11 \%$ for Heather Grant, according to a SurveyUSA poll conducted for WRAL-TV in Raleigh. Tillis' support is older, wealthier, better educated, and concentrated in greater Greensboro. The top Republican needs to reach $40 \%$ in the GOP primary to avoid a 07/15/14 runoff. Today, $23 \%$ of likely Republican Primary voters are undecided. If these undecided voters are allocated to each of the 8 candidates, Tillis today ends up at $36 \%$-- short of the $40 \%$ threshold. In a runoff: would Tillis face Brannon, whose support is disproportionately lower-income and concentrated in Southern and Coastal Carolina? Or would Tillis face Grant, whose support is disproportionately young, less educated, and concentrated in greater Raleigh? Or, might Brannon face Grant? The next 6 weeks till tell us. Today: * Tillis is viewed favorably by $34 \%$ of likely GOP Primary voters, unfavorably by $11 \%-$ - a Plus 23.

* Brannon is viewed favorably by $27 \%$, unfavorably by $11 \%$-- a Plus 16.
* Grant is viewed favorably by $20 \%$, unfavorably by $9 \%$-- a Plus 11.
* Ted Alexander is viewed favorably by $17 \%$, unfavorably by $9 \%-$ - a Plus 8 . Alexander gets $7 \%$ in a primary today.
* Mark Harris is viewed favorably by $23 \%$, unfavorably by $9 \%$-- a Plus 14 . Harris gets $6 \%$ of the vote in a primary today.
* Alex Lee Bradshaw is viewed favorably by $15 \%$, unfavorably by $8 \%-$ - a Plus 7 . Bradshaw gets $4 \%$ in a primary today.
* Jim Snyder is viewed favorably by $16 \%$, unfavorably by $8 \%-$ a Plus 8 . Snyder gets $4 \%$ today.
* Edward Kryn is viewed favorably by $13 \%$, unfavorably by $9 \%-$ - a Plus 4 . Kryn gets $3 \%$ today. Of those North Carolina adults who are familiar with the story of coal ash from Duke Energy spilling into the Dan River, $61 \%$ disapprove of the way the state responded to the spill, more than twice the $23 \%$ who approve of the state's response. Cell-phone respondents and home-phone respondents included in this research: SurveyUSA interviewed 2,100 North Carolina adults $03 / 17 / 14$ through 03/19/14. Of the adults, 1,885 were registered to vote in the state. Of the registered, 405 were determined by SurveyUSA to be likely to vote in the 05/06/14 GOP Primary. This survey was conducted using blended sample, mixed mode. Respondents reachable on a home telephone ( $73 \%$ of GOP Primary voters) were interviewed on their home telephone in the recorded voice of a professional announcer. Respondents not reachable on a home telephone ( $27 \%$ of GOP Primary voters) were shown a questionnaire on their smartphone, tablet or other electronic device.

Now I'm going to read you the names of some candidates running in the Republican Primary for United States Senate in North Carolina. For each, please tell me if your opinion of that candidate is favorable, unfavorable, neutral, or if you have no opinion of that candidate.
First ... (candidate names rotated) Thom Tillis. Is your opinion of Thom Tillis ... Favorable? Unfavorable? Neutral? Or do you have no opinion of him?

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 34\% | 36\% | 30\% | 39\% | 23\% | 36\% | 37\% | 31\% | 37\% | 36\% | ** | 9\% | 41\% | 31\% | 34\% | ** | 27\% |
| Unfavorable | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | 13\% | 12\% | 9\% | 10\% | 12\% | 9\% | 11\% | ** | 9\% | 12\% | 11\% | 10\% | ** | 16\% |
| Neutral | 38\% | 36\% | 41\% | 39\% | 37\% | 41\% | 36\% | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% | ** | 49\% | 31\% | 41\% | 37\% | ** | 48\% |
| No Opinion | 17\% | 15\% | 20\% | 9\% | 28\% | 14\% | 17\% | 19\% | 15\% | 16\% | ** | 34\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | ** | 8\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |
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1
Now l'm going to read you the names of some candidates running in the Republican Primary for United States Senate in North Carolina. For each, please tell me if your opinion of that candidate is favorable, unfavorable, neutral, or if you have no opinion of that candidate.
First ... (candidate names rotated) Thom Tillis. Is your opinion of Thom Tillis ... Favorable? Unfavorable? Neutral? Or do you have no opinion of him?

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 34\% | 33\% | 36\% | ** | 35\% | 30\% | 36\% | 32\% | 31\% | 37\% | 35\% | 37\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Unfavorable | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | ** | 14\% | 7\% | 13\% | 6\% | 14\% | 13\% | 10\% | 8\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| Neutral | 38\% | 37\% | 45\% | ** | 34\% | 36\% | 43\% | 45\% | 31\% | 41\% | 35\% | 39\% | 45\% | 33\% |
| No Opinion | 17\% | 19\% | 9\% | ** | 16\% | 27\% | 8\% | 17\% | 24\% | 9\% | 20\% | 16\% | 12\% | 22\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

2

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 16\% | 20\% | 11\% | 23\% | 20\% | 10\% | 12\% | 21\% | 11\% | 18\% | ** | 1\% | 15\% | 17\% | 16\% | ** | 17\% |
| Unfavorable | 8\% | 7\% | 11\% | 19\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 12\% | 5\% | 8\% | ** | 0\% | 15\% | 6\% | 9\% | ** | 4\% |
| Neutral | 43\% | 43\% | 44\% | 39\% | 34\% | 51\% | 50\% | 36\% | 50\% | 45\% | ** | 30\% | 41\% | 44\% | 42\% | ** | 50\% |
| No Opinion | 32\% | 31\% | 35\% | 19\% | 41\% | 34\% | 34\% | 31\% | 34\% | 30\% | ** | 70\% | 28\% | 34\% | 33\% | ** | 29\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

2 Next, Jim Snyder. Is your opinion of Jim Snyder.

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 16\% | 13\% | 25\% | ** | 17\% | 11\% | 21\% | 20\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 12\% | 27\% |
| Unfavorable | 8\% | 7\% | 13\% | ** | 17\% | 7\% | 6\% | 12\% | 5\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 11\% | 17\% |
| Neutral | 43\% | 44\% | 40\% | ** | 42\% | 46\% | 41\% | 41\% | 44\% | 45\% | 43\% | 49\% | 44\% | 35\% |
| No Opinion | 32\% | 36\% | 22\% | ** | 24\% | 36\% | 32\% | 28\% | 36\% | 32\% | 36\% | 31\% | 34\% | 22\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |
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| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 13\% | 17\% | 8\% | 31\% | 12\% | 4\% | 7\% | 21\% | 5\% | 14\% | ** | 1\% | 26\% | 9\% | 14\% | ** | 11\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 9\% | 10\% | 13\% | 12\% | 6\% | 6\% | 12\% | 6\% | 8\% | ** | 11\% | 13\% | 8\% | 9\% | ** | 8\% |
| Neutral | 46\% | 47\% | 46\% | 44\% | 34\% | 54\% | 53\% | 39\% | 54\% | 47\% | ** | 47\% | 40\% | 48\% | 46\% | ** | 47\% |
| No Opinion | 31\% | 28\% | 36\% | 11\% | 42\% | 36\% | 34\% | 28\% | 35\% | 31\% | ** | 41\% | 21\% | 35\% | 31\% | ** | 34\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

3 Next, Edward Kryn. Is your opinion of Edward Kryn ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 13\% | 11\% | 20\% | ** | 18\% | 13\% | 12\% | 16\% | 15\% | 8\% | 13\% | 14\% | 10\% | 18\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 9\% | 10\% | ** | 9\% | 7\% | 11\% | 11\% | 5\% | 13\% | 7\% | 8\% | 14\% | 7\% |
| Neutral | 46\% | 48\% | 43\% | ** | 50\% | 43\% | 48\% | 46\% | 41\% | 52\% | 45\% | 42\% | 47\% | 53\% |
| No Opinion | 31\% | 33\% | 27\% | ** | 24\% | 38\% | 28\% | 26\% | 39\% | 27\% | 35\% | 36\% | 29\% | 22\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

4 Next, Mark Harris. Is your opinion of Mark Harris ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 23\% | 26\% | 19\% | 31\% | 24\% | 19\% | 20\% | 27\% | 19\% | 25\% | ** | 3\% | 36\% | 18\% | 24\% | ** | 16\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% | 20\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 12\% | 6\% | 9\% | ** | 0\% | 12\% | 8\% | 8\% | ** | 12\% |
| Neutral | 41\% | 41\% | 43\% | 34\% | 33\% | 50\% | 49\% | 33\% | 50\% | 43\% | ** | 27\% | 29\% | 46\% | 41\% | ** | 46\% |
| No Opinion | 27\% | 25\% | 30\% | 15\% | 39\% | 25\% | 26\% | 28\% | 25\% | 24\% | ** | 70\% | 24\% | 28\% | 27\% | ** | 26\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |
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4
Next, Mark Harris. Is your opinion of Mark Harris ..

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 23\% | 21\% | 26\% | ** | 25\% | 20\% | 25\% | 21\% | 25\% | 23\% | 28\% | 29\% | 16\% | 19\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% | ** | 1\% | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% | 6\% | 12\% | 7\% | 4\% | 13\% | 11\% |
| Neutral | 41\% | 42\% | 42\% | ** | 54\% | 37\% | 41\% | 47\% | 38\% | 40\% | 34\% | 43\% | 43\% | 55\% |
| No Opinion | 27\% | 28\% | 24\% | ** | 20\% | 32\% | 24\% | 23\% | 31\% | 25\% | 31\% | 24\% | 29\% | 16\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

5
Next, Heather Grant. Is your opinion of Heather Grant ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 31\% | 22\% | 14\% | 12\% | 26\% | 13\% | 20\% | ** | 4\% | 30\% | 16\% | 20\% | ** | 15\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 19\% | 4\% | 7\% | 10\% | 11\% | 8\% | 9\% | ** | 1\% | 13\% | 8\% | 9\% | ** | 10\% |
| Neutral | 42\% | 47\% | 36\% | 40\% | 33\% | 50\% | 48\% | 36\% | 49\% | 42\% | ** | 55\% | 36\% | 45\% | 41\% | ** | 51\% |
| No Opinion | 29\% | 26\% | 33\% | 10\% | 42\% | 29\% | 30\% | 28\% | 30\% | 29\% | ** | 41\% | 22\% | 31\% | 29\% | ** | 23\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

5 Next, Heather Grant. Is your opinion of Heather Grant ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 20\% | 15\% | 28\% | ** | 22\% | 19\% | 19\% | 27\% | 13\% | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% | 22\% | 24\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | ** | 15\% | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 12\% | 9\% | 6\% | 11\% | 5\% | 25\% |
| Neutral | 42\% | 45\% | 39\% | ** | 38\% | 39\% | 47\% | 40\% | 40\% | 48\% | 41\% | 47\% | 48\% | 31\% |
| No Opinion | 29\% | 30\% | 21\% | ** | 25\% | 35\% | 24\% | 25\% | 35\% | 23\% | 35\% | 27\% | 26\% | 20\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |
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6
Next, Alex Lee Bradshaw. Is your opinion of Alex Lee Bradshaw ..

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 15\% | 19\% | 9\% | 33\% | 10\% | 6\% | 16\% | 20\% | 10\% | 13\% | ** | 31\% | 27\% | 11\% | 15\% | ** | 13\% |
| Unfavorable | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 11\% | 12\% | 4\% | 5\% | 11\% | 4\% | 7\% | ** | 1\% | 6\% | 9\% | 8\% | ** | 4\% |
| Neutral | 45\% | 43\% | 47\% | 39\% | 37\% | 55\% | 47\% | 38\% | 52\% | 47\% | ** | 26\% | 38\% | 47\% | 44\% | ** | 54\% |
| No Opinion | 32\% | 30\% | 36\% | 18\% | 41\% | 36\% | 32\% | 30\% | 34\% | 33\% | ** | 43\% | 29\% | 33\% | 33\% | ** | 29\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

6 Next, Alex Lee Bradshaw. Is your opinion of Alex Lee Bradshaw ..

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 15\% | 15\% | 9\% | ** | 16\% | 14\% | 16\% | 14\% | 11\% | 21\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 8\% |
| Unfavorable | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% | ** | 7\% | 6\% | 10\% | 9\% | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 8\% | 21\% |
| Neutral | 45\% | 42\% | 61\% | ** | 53\% | 40\% | 46\% | 45\% | 46\% | 44\% | 42\% | 50\% | 44\% | 47\% |
| No Opinion | 32\% | 35\% | 25\% | ** | 25\% | 40\% | 28\% | 32\% | 37\% | 26\% | 38\% | 29\% | 31\% | 24\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

7 Next, Greg Brannon. Is your opinion of Greg Brannon

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 27\% | 28\% | 26\% | 32\% | 22\% | 27\% | 29\% | 27\% | 28\% | 29\% | ** | 11\% | 27\% | 27\% | 28\% | ** | 24\% |
| Unfavorable | 11\% | 12\% | 10\% | 23\% | 11\% | 7\% | 5\% | 17\% | 6\% | 9\% | ** | 29\% | 19\% | 9\% | 12\% | ** | 8\% |
| Neutral | 36\% | 37\% | 35\% | 33\% | 29\% | 42\% | 42\% | 31\% | 42\% | 38\% | ** | 17\% | 35\% | 37\% | 36\% | ** | 41\% |
| No Opinion | 25\% | 22\% | 29\% | 11\% | 39\% | 24\% | 24\% | 26\% | 24\% | 24\% | ** | 43\% | 19\% | 27\% | 25\% | ** | 26\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |
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Next, Greg Brannon. Is your opinion of Greg Brannon ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 27\% | 28\% | 18\% | ** | 23\% | 29\% | 27\% | 30\% | 24\% | 27\% | 29\% | 28\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| Unfavorable | 11\% | 10\% | 19\% | ** | 18\% | 4\% | 16\% | 11\% | 8\% | 16\% | 5\% | 6\% | 18\% | 22\% |
| Neutral | 36\% | 36\% | 40\% | ** | 38\% | 37\% | 34\% | 37\% | 39\% | 33\% | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% | 32\% |
| No Opinion | 25\% | 26\% | 23\% | ** | 21\% | 30\% | 23\% | 22\% | 29\% | 24\% | 29\% | 30\% | 24\% | 14\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

8 Next, Ted Alexander. Is your opinion of Ted Alexander ...

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Favorable | 17\% | 23\% | 9\% | 32\% | 16\% | 10\% | 13\% | 23\% | 11\% | 16\% | ** | 30\% | 30\% | 13\% | 18\% | ** | 14\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 10\% | 7\% | 18\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 13\% | 4\% | 8\% | ** | 9\% | 15\% | 6\% | 9\% | ** | 4\% |
| Neutral | 44\% | 41\% | 49\% | 40\% | 28\% | 57\% | 53\% | 34\% | 55\% | 47\% | ** | 20\% | 35\% | 48\% | 44\% | ** | 49\% |
| No Opinion | 30\% | 27\% | 34\% | 10\% | 46\% | 29\% | 31\% | 30\% | 30\% | 29\% | ** | 41\% | 20\% | 33\% | 29\% | ** | 33\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

8 Next, Ted Alexander. Is your opinion of Ted Alexander ..

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Favorable | 17\% | 16\% | 20\% | ** | 19\% | 12\% | 22\% | 16\% | 17\% | 20\% | 17\% | 21\% | 17\% | 13\% |
| Unfavorable | 9\% | 6\% | 16\% | ** | 13\% | 7\% | 8\% | 10\% | 5\% | 11\% | 3\% | 5\% | 10\% | 21\% |
| Neutral | 44\% | 45\% | 42\% | ** | 50\% | 45\% | 41\% | 42\% | 46\% | 45\% | 43\% | 47\% | 47\% | 39\% |
| No Opinion | 30\% | 32\% | 22\% | ** | 19\% | 36\% | 28\% | 32\% | 32\% | 24\% | 36\% | 27\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

SOBVEY OSA

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

9 If the Republican Primary for United States Senator from North Carolina were today, and you were filling out your Republican primary ballot now, who would you vote for? (candidate names rotated) Thom Tillis? Jim Snyder? Edward Kryn? Mark Harris? Heather Grant? Alex Lee Bradshaw? Greg Brannon? Ted Alexander?

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Thom Tillis | 28\% | 30\% | 25\% | 12\% | 19\% | 40\% | 41\% | 16\% | 40\% | 30\% | ** | 15\% | 16\% | 32\% | 29\% | ** | 17\% |
| Jim Snyder | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 13\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 7\% | 1\% | 4\% | ** | 1\% | 11\% | 1\% | 4\% | ** | 0\% |
| Edward Kryn | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | ** | 9\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | ** | 11\% |
| Mark Harris | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | ** | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | ** | 6\% |
| Heather Grant | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | 17\% | 11\% | 10\% | 7\% | 14\% | 9\% | 10\% | ** | 3\% | 16\% | 10\% | 11\% | ** | 12\% |
| Alex Lee Bradshaw | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 9\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | ** | 1\% | 9\% | 2\% | 4\% | ** | 0\% |
| Greg Brannon | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 11\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 13\% | 16\% | 17\% | ** | 0\% | 6\% | 18\% | 14\% | ** | 24\% |
| Ted Alexander | 7\% | 9\% | 3\% | 11\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | ** | 38\% | 14\% | 4\% | 6\% | ** | 11\% |
| Undecided | 23\% | 20\% | 28\% | 19\% | 35\% | 18\% | 18\% | 28\% | 18\% | 23\% | ** | 34\% | 23\% | 23\% | 24\% | ** | 19\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 59\% | 41\% | 23\% | 27\% | 29\% | 20\% | 50\% | 50\% | 87\% | 3\% | 7\% | 27\% | 73\% | 90\% | 0\% | 10\% |

9 If the Republican Primary for United States Senator from North Carolina were today, and you were filling out your Republican primary ballot now, who would you vote for? (candidate names rotated) Thom Tillis? Jim Snyder? Edward Kryn? Mark Harris? Heather Grant? Alex Lee Bradshaw? Greg Brannon? Ted Alexander?

| 405 Likely GOP Primary Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-5\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Thom Tillis | 28\% | 29\% | 31\% | ** | 21\% | 27\% | 32\% | 22\% | 28\% | 35\% | 26\% | 38\% | 32\% | 16\% |
| Jim Snyder | 4\% | 2\% | 9\% | ** | 9\% | 3\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 14\% |
| Edward Kryn | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | ** | 0\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| Mark Harris | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | ** | 9\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Heather Grant | 11\% | 10\% | 11\% | ** | 15\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% | 8\% | 16\% | 11\% | 6\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| Alex Lee Bradshaw | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | ** | 6\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Greg Brannon | 15\% | 16\% | 9\% | ** | 10\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 13\% | 15\% | 13\% | 12\% | 23\% |
| Ted Alexander | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% | ** | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 11\% | 5\% | 3\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Undecided | 23\% | 23\% | 26\% | ** | 24\% | 24\% | 22\% | 25\% | 30\% | 12\% | 30\% | 23\% | 16\% | 21\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Likely GOP Primary | 100\% | 74\% | 20\% | 4\% | 17\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 37\% | 30\% | 37\% | 18\% | 28\% | 16\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

## 10

Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the job Barack Obama is doing as President?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 39\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 38\% | 39\% | 37\% | 39\% | 38\% | 26\% | 81\% | 45\% | 37\% | 39\% | 7\% | 68\% | 28\% |
| Disapprove | 54\% | 57\% | 51\% | 49\% | 51\% | 56\% | 60\% | 50\% | 58\% | 67\% | 9\% | 54\% | 49\% | 55\% | 89\% | 24\% | 59\% |
| Not Sure | 8\% | 5\% | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 5\% | 3\% | 11\% | 4\% | 7\% | 9\% | 2\% | 13\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 13\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 69\% | 20\% | 6\% | 25\% | 75\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

10
Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the job Barack Obama is doing as President?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 39\% | 16\% | 43\% | 66\% | 38\% | 35\% | 42\% | 39\% | 38\% | 39\% | 37\% | 38\% | 42\% | 35\% |
| Disapprove | 54\% | 81\% | 45\% | 25\% | 57\% | 55\% | 50\% | 52\% | 55\% | 54\% | 55\% | 54\% | 51\% | 55\% |
| Not Sure | 8\% | 3\% | 12\% | 8\% | 5\% | 10\% | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 10\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 36\% | 39\% | 17\% | 21\% | 39\% | 40\% | 39\% | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

11
Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the job Pat McCrory is doing as Governor?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 34\% | 37\% | 31\% | 29\% | 31\% | 35\% | 42\% | 30\% | 38\% | 37\% | 24\% | 28\% | 32\% | 34\% | 51\% | 22\% | 31\% |
| Disapprove | 51\% | 50\% | 53\% | 49\% | 53\% | 55\% | 47\% | 51\% | 52\% | 47\% | 64\% | 59\% | 44\% | 54\% | 29\% | 70\% | 47\% |
| Not Sure | 15\% | 13\% | 17\% | 22\% | 16\% | 10\% | 11\% | 19\% | 11\% | 16\% | 12\% | 13\% | 24\% | 12\% | 20\% | 8\% | 21\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 69\% | 20\% | 6\% | 25\% | 75\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages
11
Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the job Pat McCrory is doing as Governor?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 34\% | 53\% | 24\% | 17\% | 35\% | 33\% | 34\% | 30\% | 37\% | 34\% | 38\% | 35\% | 29\% | 33\% |
| Disapprove | 51\% | 31\% | 58\% | 75\% | 47\% | 49\% | 55\% | 52\% | 48\% | 54\% | 48\% | 50\% | 55\% | 53\% |
| Not Sure | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% | 8\% | 18\% | 18\% | 11\% | 17\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 16\% | 16\% | 15\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 36\% | 39\% | 17\% | 21\% | 39\% | 40\% | 39\% | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

12 Do you approve or disapprove of the job Richard Burr is doing as United States Senator?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 34\% | 36\% | 32\% | 31\% | 33\% | 33\% | 40\% | 32\% | 36\% | 35\% | 36\% | 20\% | 27\% | 36\% | 44\% | 29\% | 29\% |
| Disapprove | 41\% | 42\% | 40\% | 38\% | 42\% | 44\% | 39\% | 40\% | 42\% | 39\% | 42\% | 62\% | 36\% | 43\% | 30\% | 51\% | 37\% |
| Not Sure | 25\% | 23\% | 28\% | 31\% | 25\% | 22\% | 21\% | 28\% | 22\% | 26\% | 22\% | 18\% | 36\% | 21\% | 27\% | 20\% | 34\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 69\% | 20\% | 6\% | 25\% | 75\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

12
Do you approve or disapprove of the job Richard Burr is doing as United States Senator?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 34\% | 47\% | 29\% | 19\% | 35\% | 36\% | 31\% | 34\% | 34\% | 32\% | 29\% | 47\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| Disapprove | 41\% | 28\% | 44\% | 59\% | 40\% | 36\% | 46\% | 39\% | 41\% | 44\% | 42\% | 33\% | 42\% | 46\% |
| Not Sure | 25\% | 25\% | 26\% | 22\% | 25\% | 28\% | 23\% | 26\% | 25\% | 23\% | 29\% | 20\% | 25\% | 23\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 36\% | 39\% | 17\% | 21\% | 39\% | 40\% | 39\% | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages
13
Do you approve or disapprove of the job Kay Hagan is doing as United States Senator?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 34\% | 33\% | 36\% | 32\% | 33\% | 37\% | 38\% | 32\% | 37\% | 26\% | 59\% | 43\% | 32\% | 35\% | 12\% | 55\% | 28\% |
| Disapprove | 54\% | 57\% | 51\% | 48\% | 56\% | 55\% | 55\% | 52\% | 55\% | 62\% | 27\% | 51\% | 49\% | 55\% | 77\% | 36\% | 53\% |
| Not Sure | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 20\% | 11\% | 8\% | 7\% | 15\% | 8\% | 12\% | 13\% | 6\% | 20\% | 9\% | 11\% | 9\% | 20\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 69\% | 20\% | 6\% | 25\% | 75\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

13
Do you approve or disapprove of the job Kay Hagan is doing as United States Senator?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 34\% | 16\% | 38\% | 62\% | 32\% | 30\% | 40\% | 31\% | 37\% | 37\% | 34\% | 36\% | 37\% | 29\% |
| Disapprove | 54\% | 76\% | 46\% | 30\% | 56\% | 55\% | 51\% | 56\% | 52\% | 53\% | 53\% | 55\% | 50\% | 60\% |
| Not Sure | 12\% | 8\% | 15\% | 9\% | 12\% | 15\% | 9\% | 13\% | 12\% | 10\% | 12\% | 9\% | 14\% | 11\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 36\% | 39\% | 17\% | 21\% | 39\% | 40\% | 39\% | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

14
Do you approve? Or disapprove? of the job the North Carolina State Legislature is doing?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 26\% | 30\% | 21\% | 32\% | 22\% | 23\% | 26\% | 27\% | 24\% | 24\% | 31\% | 19\% | 31\% | 24\% | 31\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| Disapprove | 57\% | 56\% | 58\% | 49\% | 62\% | 61\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% | 58\% | 53\% | 58\% | 45\% | 61\% | 43\% | 66\% | 60\% |
| Not Sure | 18\% | 14\% | 21\% | 20\% | 15\% | 17\% | 19\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 16\% | 23\% | 24\% | 15\% | 26\% | 13\% | 15\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 69\% | 20\% | 6\% | 25\% | 75\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages
14
Do you approve? Or disapprove? of the job the North Carolina State Legislature is doing?

| 1885 Registered Voters | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.3\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 26\% | 34\% | 23\% | 12\% | 23\% | 28\% | 24\% | 26\% | 27\% | 26\% | 29\% | 29\% | 23\% | 20\% |
| Disapprove | 57\% | 43\% | 62\% | 78\% | 52\% | 54\% | 62\% | 56\% | 55\% | 61\% | 54\% | 52\% | 59\% | 63\% |
| Not Sure | 18\% | 23\% | 15\% | 10\% | 25\% | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 18\% | 13\% | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Registered Voters | 100\% | 36\% | 39\% | 17\% | 21\% | 39\% | 40\% | 39\% | 36\% | 25\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

15
One year from now, will North Carolina's economy be ... stronger than it is today? Weaker than it is today? Or about the same as it is today?

| 2100 Adults | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | $<50 / 50+$ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Stronger | 23\% | 29\% | 18\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 22\% | 23\% | 23\% | 20\% | 30\% | 24\% | 28\% | 21\% | 27\% | 23\% | 17\% |
| Weaker | 32\% | 28\% | 35\% | 35\% | 33\% | 30\% | 25\% | 34\% | 28\% | 32\% | 23\% | 53\% | 30\% | 32\% | 29\% | 31\% | 34\% |
| Same | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 38\% | 39\% | 42\% | 44\% | 38\% | 43\% | 43\% | 40\% | 17\% | 39\% | 41\% | 39\% | 40\% | 43\% |
| Not Sure | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 17\% | 57\% | 43\% | 68\% | 20\% | 7\% | 26\% | 74\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

15
One year from now, will North Carolina's economy be ... stronger than it is today? Weaker than it is today? Or about the same as it is today?

| 2100 Adults | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Stronger | 23\% | 26\% | 21\% | 21\% | 19\% | 21\% | 27\% | 19\% | 24\% | 29\% | 26\% | 20\% | 25\% | 16\% |
| Weaker | 32\% | 29\% | 29\% | 41\% | 35\% | 34\% | 27\% | 36\% | 31\% | 25\% | 28\% | 29\% | 33\% | 40\% |
| Same | 40\% | 41\% | 45\% | 32\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 38\% | 41\% | 42\% | 41\% | 44\% | 38\% | 38\% |
| Not Sure | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 35\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 35\% | 24\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

SOBVEV OSA

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

State leaders have proposed various plans for raising what the state pays teachers. Which of these plans, if any, do you most prefer? Raising pay for all teachers? Focusing pay raises on those in their first 9 years of teaching? Raising pay based on performance? Or not raising teacher pay at this time?

| 2100 Adults | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | $<50 / 50+$ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Raising Pay For All Teachers | 52\% | 48\% | 55\% | 51\% | 52\% | 51\% | 54\% | 51\% | 52\% | 46\% | 69\% | 50\% | 51\% | 52\% | 36\% | 68\% | 51\% |
| Raises On Those In Their First 9 Yea | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| Based On Performance | 36\% | 39\% | 32\% | 32\% | 38\% | 38\% | 35\% | 35\% | 37\% | 40\% | 19\% | 37\% | 35\% | 36\% | 51\% | 23\% | 34\% |
| Not Raising At This Time | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 9\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Not Sure | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 17\% | 57\% | 43\% | 68\% | 20\% | 7\% | 26\% | 74\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

16
State leaders have proposed various plans for raising what the state pays teachers. Which of these plans, if any, do you most prefer? Raising pay for all teachers? Focusing pay raises on those in their first 9 years of teaching? Raising pay based on performance? Or not raising teacher pay at this time?

| 2100 Adults | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Raising Pay For All Teachers | 52\% | 38\% | 52\% | 73\% | 47\% | 52\% | 54\% | 51\% | 54\% | 50\% | 51\% | 51\% | 52\% | 53\% |
| Raises On Those In Their First 9 Yea | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Based On Performance | 36\% | 50\% | 34\% | 16\% | 33\% | 37\% | 36\% | 34\% | 36\% | 39\% | 34\% | 38\% | 37\% | 35\% |
| Not Raising At This Time | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% | 3\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Not Sure | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 35\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 35\% | 24\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

SOBVEV OSA

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

On February 2, a Duke Energy coal ash pond spilled into the Dan River. How familiar are you with this news story? Very familiar? Somewhat familiar? Not very familiar? Or not at all familiar?

| 2100 Adults | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Very | 39\% | 44\% | 35\% | 34\% | 38\% | 44\% | 41\% | 36\% | 43\% | 38\% | 38\% | 48\% | 31\% | 42\% | 37\% | 44\% | 35\% |
| Somewhat | 37\% | 37\% | 38\% | 36\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% | 37\% | 38\% | 40\% | 31\% | 42\% | 40\% | 37\% | 42\% | 34\% | 46\% |
| Not Very | 13\% | 11\% | 15\% | 15\% | 13\% | 10\% | 15\% | 14\% | 12\% | 13\% | 16\% | 3\% | 17\% | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% | 11\% |
| Not At All | 9\% | 7\% | 12\% | 14\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% | 12\% | 6\% | 8\% | 14\% | 7\% | 12\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Not Sure | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 17\% | 57\% | 43\% | 68\% | 20\% | 7\% | 26\% | 74\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

17
On February 2, a Duke Energy coal ash pond spilled into the Dan River. How familiar are you with this news story? Very familiar? Somewhat familiar? Not very familiar? Or not at all familiar?

| 2100 Adults | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Very | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 43\% | 33\% | 35\% | 47\% | 34\% | 39\% | 50\% | 39\% | 42\% | 43\% | 29\% |
| Somewhat | 37\% | 38\% | 37\% | 38\% | 35\% | 41\% | 36\% | 37\% | 41\% | 32\% | 39\% | 39\% | 36\% | 35\% |
| Not Very | 13\% | 14\% | 11\% | 12\% | 18\% | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 11\% | 12\% | 17\% |
| Not At All | 9\% | 8\% | 11\% | 6\% | 13\% | 10\% | 6\% | 12\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 18\% |
| Not Sure | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 35\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 35\% | 24\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

## 18

 Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the way the state has responded to the spill?| 1606 Familiar With News Story | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.4\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Approve | 23\% | 24\% | 21\% | 36\% | 18\% | 20\% | 16\% | 27\% | 18\% | 22\% | 28\% | 23\% | 36\% | 19\% | 26\% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Disapprove | 61\% | 63\% | 58\% | 47\% | 69\% | 64\% | 63\% | 58\% | 64\% | 59\% | 61\% | 71\% | 48\% | 65\% | 53\% | 67\% | 56\% |
| Not Sure | 16\% | 12\% | 21\% | 17\% | 13\% | 16\% | 21\% | 15\% | 18\% | 19\% | 12\% | 6\% | 16\% | 17\% | 21\% | 11\% | 23\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Familiar With News S | 100\% | 51\% | 49\% | 28\% | 27\% | 28\% | 18\% | 55\% | 45\% | 70\% | 18\% | 8\% | 24\% | 76\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages
18
Do you approve? Or disapprove? Of the way the state has responded to the spill?

| 1606 Familiar With News Story | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.4\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Approve | 23\% | 29\% | 21\% | 20\% | 21\% | 24\% | 23\% | 22\% | 24\% | 22\% | 27\% | 22\% | 16\% | 30\% |
| Disapprove | 61\% | 53\% | 61\% | 73\% | 61\% | 55\% | 66\% | 62\% | 58\% | 62\% | 58\% | 61\% | 65\% | 58\% |
| Not Sure | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% | 6\% | 18\% | 21\% | 12\% | 15\% | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 18\% | 12\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Familiar With News S | 100\% | 36\% | 40\% | 18\% | 21\% | 37\% | 42\% | 38\% | 37\% | 26\% | 35\% | 19\% | 32\% | 14\% |

19In addition to the Dan River location, Duke Energy has 13 other locations across the state where it stores coal ash in ponds. How should the cleanup of those locations be paid for? The company should pay for it without raising customer rates? The company should be able to raise customer rates to pay for some of the costs? The company should be able to raise customer rates to pay for all of the costs? Or should state taxpayers pay the costs?

| 2100 Adults | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-1.4\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Company Should Pay | 88\% | 86\% | 89\% | 84\% | 93\% | 88\% | 86\% | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% | 84\% | 95\% | 83\% | 89\% | 87\% | 88\% | 86\% |
| Raise Rates To Pay For Some | 8\% | 11\% | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% | 6\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% | 11\% | 6\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Raise Rates To Pay For All | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| State Taxpayers Should Pay | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Not Sure | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 17\% | 57\% | 43\% | 68\% | 20\% | 7\% | 26\% | 74\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

SOBVEV OSA

## Geography: North Carolina

Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

19
In addition to the Dan River location, Duke Energy has 13 other locations across the state where it stores coal ash in ponds. How should the cleanup of those locations be paid for? The company should pay for it without raising customer rates? The company should be able to raise customer rates to pay for some of the costs? The company should be able to raise customer rates to pay for all of the costs? Or should state taxpayers pay the costs?

| 2100 Adults | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-1.4\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Company Should Pay | 88\% | 88\% | 86\% | 91\% | 89\% | 89\% | 86\% | 90\% | 87\% | 85\% | 87\% | 86\% | 89\% | 90\% |
| Raise Rates To Pay For Some | 8\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 10\% | 3\% | 10\% | 13\% | 8\% | 11\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Raise Rates To Pay For All | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| State Taxpayers Should Pay | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Not Sure | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 35\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 35\% | 24\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

20
Should the main focus of North Carolina's Department of Environment and Natural Resources be protecting the environment? Helping businesses navigate environmental regulations? Or an even mix of protecting the environment and helping businesses navigate the regulations?

| 2100 Adults | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | <50/50+ |  | Race |  |  | Cell Phone / Lan |  | Party Affiliation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+ | White | Black | Hispani | Cell Ph | Landlin | Republi | Democr | Unaffilia |
| Protecting Environment | 39\% | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 38\% | 38\% | 39\% | 39\% | 38\% | 35\% | 42\% | 55\% | 42\% | 38\% | 27\% | 48\% | 36\% |
| Navigate Environmental Regulations | 8\% | 10\% | 7\% | 8\% | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 10\% | 3\% | 10\% | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% | 11\% |
| Even Mix Of Both | 49\% | 49\% | 48\% | 47\% | 54\% | 50\% | 41\% | 50\% | 46\% | 53\% | 40\% | 34\% | 46\% | 49\% | 61\% | 40\% | 51\% |
| Not Sure | 4\% | 2\% | 6\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 7\% | 8\% | 2\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 48\% | 52\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 17\% | 57\% | 43\% | 68\% | 20\% | 7\% | 26\% | 74\% | 32\% | 44\% | 19\% |

## Results of SurveyUSA Election Poll \#21157

SORVEY OSA
Geography: North Carolina
Data Collected: 03/17/2014-03/19/2014
Release Date: 03/20/2014
Percentages

## 20

Should the main focus of North Carolina's Department of Environment and Natural Resources be protecting the environment? Helping businesses navigate environmental regulations? Or an even mix of protecting the environment and helping businesses navigate the regulations?

| 2100 Adults | All | Ideology |  |  | Education |  |  | Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Margin of Sampling Error: +/-2.2\% |  | Conser | Modera | Liberal | High Sc | Some C | 4-year | < \$40K | \$40K - | > \$80K | Charlott | Greens | Raleigh | Souther |
| Protecting Environment | 39\% | 33\% | 40\% | 52\% | 40\% | 34\% | 43\% | 40\% | 40\% | 36\% | 37\% | 42\% | 39\% | 39\% |
| Navigate Environmental Regulations | 8\% | 11\% | 8\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% | 6\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 7\% | 6\% | 10\% |
| Even Mix Of Both | 49\% | 53\% | 49\% | 39\% | 44\% | 52\% | 49\% | 44\% | 49\% | 54\% | 48\% | 49\% | 50\% | 46\% |
| Not Sure | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Composition of Adults | 100\% | 35\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 35\% | 24\% | 35\% | 17\% | 31\% | 17\% |

** Too few respondents of this type were interviewed for this data to be meaningful.
Statement of Methodology: About the Poll: This poll was conducted by telephone in the voice of a professional announcer. Respondent households were selected at random, using Random Digit Dialed (RDD) sample provided by Survey Sampling, of Fairfield CT. All respondents heard the questions asked identically. The pollster's report includes the geography that was surveyed; the date(s) interviews were conducted, the number of respondents who answered each question and the theoretical margin of sampling error for each question. Where necessary, respondents were weighted using the most recent US Census estimates for age, gender, ethnic origin and region, to align the sample to the population. In theory, one can say with $95 \%$ certainty that the results would not vary by more than the stated margin of sampling error, in one direction or the other, had the entire universe of respondents with home telephones been interviewed with complete accuracy. There are other possible sources of error in all surveys that may be more serious than sampling error. These include: the difficulty of interviewing respondents who do not have a home telephone; the refusal by some with home telephones to be interviewed; the order in which questions are asked; the wording of questions; the way and extent to which data are weighted; and the manner in which specialized populations, such as likely voters, are determined. It is difficult to quantify the errors that may result from these and other factors. Research methodology, questionnaire design and fieldwork for this survey were completed by SurveyUSA of Clifton, NJ. This statement conforms to the principles of disclosure of the National Council on Public Polls.

